# Transition-Metal-Free and Oxidant-Free Cross-Coupling of Arylhydrazines with Disulfides: Base-Promoted Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Aryl Sulfides

Toshihide Taniguchi[,](#page-7-0) $^{*,\dagger,\S}$  $^{*,\dagger,\S}$  $^{*,\dagger,\S}$  Takuya Naka, $^\dagger$  Mitsutaka Imoto, $^\dagger_\circ$  Motonori Takeda, $^\dagger_\circ$  Takeo Nakai, $^\ddagger$ Masatoshi Mihara, $^\ddagger$  Takumi Mizuno, $^{*,\ddot\mp}$  $^{*,\ddot\mp}$  $^{*,\ddot\mp}$  Akihiro Nomoto, $^\$,$  and Akiya Ogawa $^\$,$ 

† Seika Corporation, 1-1-82 Kozaika, Wakayama 641-0007, Japan

‡ Morinomiya Center, Osaka Research Institute of Industrial Science and Technology, 1-6-50 Morinomiya, Joto-ku, Osaka 536-8553, Japan

§ Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-1 Gakuen-cho, Naka-ku, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

**S** [Supporting Information](#page-7-0)



ABSTRACT: A novel synthesis of unsymmetrical aryl sulfides, which requires no transition metal catalyst and no oxidant, was developed. This base-promoted cross-coupling reaction proceeded using arylhydrazines and 1 equiv amount of disulfides under inert gas conditions to afford the unsymmetrical aryl sulfides in good yields.

# ■ **INTRODUCTION**

Diaryl sulfides, which are used as pharmaceuticals, bioactive compounds, functional polymer materials, $<sup>1</sup>$  $<sup>1</sup>$  $<sup>1</sup>$  and synthetic</sup> chemicals, $\epsilon$  have received considerable attention. In particular, unsymmetrical aryl sulfides are of great importance as medicines for the treatment of various medical conditions such as cancer, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, AIDS, neoplastic, HCV, diabetic, and parasitic diseases.<sup>[3](#page-7-0)</sup> The importance of diaryl sulfides in biologically and pharmaceutically active compounds has sparked an increased interest toward improving methodologies to form these unsymmetrical compounds.

One of the most popular synthetic methods of unsymmetrical diaryl sulfides is the traditional Stadler−Ziegler reaction [\(Scheme 1\)](#page-1-0),<sup>[4](#page-7-0)</sup> where aryl amines are converted into the corresponding diazonium salts. These salts react with thiolates to yield unsymmetrical diaryl sulfides. The Stadler− Ziegler reaction has also been applied to the industrial manufacturing of diaryl sulfides.<sup>[5](#page-7-0)</sup> Recently, a cross-coupling reaction of diazonium salts with thiols using a SET photoredox catalyst was reported.<sup>[6](#page-7-0)</sup> In this reaction, diazonium salts and diazosulfides are formed as key intermediates, which are explosive and therefore their use is better avoided.<sup>[7](#page-7-0)</sup>

Among the numerous synthetic methods for the aryl C−S bond formation, a powerful approach is the transition-metalcatalyzed C−S coupling reaction. Hitherto, many transition-metal-catalyzed systems including palladium,<sup>[8](#page-7-0)</sup> nickel,<sup>[9](#page-7-0)</sup> zinc,<sup>[10](#page-8-0)</sup> copper,<sup>[11](#page-8-0)</sup> iron,<sup>[12](#page-8-0)</sup> rhodium,<sup>[13](#page-8-0)</sup> silver,<sup>[14](#page-8-0)</sup> and iridium<sup>15</sup> have been reported [\(Scheme 1](#page-1-0)). Although these reports were great breakthroughs for the synthesis of unsymmetrical sulfides, these transition-metal-catalyzed reactions usually need harsh conditions such as high temperatures $8-14$  $8-14$  $8-14$  and microwave irradiation.<sup>[11f](#page-8-0)</sup> Furthermore, high loadings of catalysts bearing specially designed ligands and/or strong bases are often required, because the strong coordination of thiolates to transition metal catalysts causes deactivation of the catalysts.[1b](#page-7-0)[,16](#page-8-0),[17](#page-8-0) Also, the tolerance of functional groups is limited due to the high temperatures and strong bases required in these reactions.

Moreover, to apply these reactions to industrial scale production, researchers often face the following problems: (1) Most of the transition metal catalysts, e.g., palladium catalysts, are very expensive, and some of them are toxic; and (2) The removal of transition metal residues from the target products is very costly. Therefore, the development of diaryl sulfide synthetic methods in the absence of transition metal catalysts is strongly desired from a practical perspective.

The formation of unsymmetrical aryl sulfides from diaryl disulfides, which are easy to handle, and aryl radicals generated from diazonium salts under reducing conditions is well-

Received: April 3, 2017 Published: June 15, 2017

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Traditional Stadler-Ziegler Reaction:



Efficient Method for C-S Bond Formation by Photoredox Catalyst:



**Transition Metal** Catalvst Base, Ligand **High Temperature**  $X = CI, Br, I, B(OH)<sub>2</sub>; Y = H, SA$ 

Efficient Method for C-S Bond Formation Using Diaryldisulfides:



known[.14](#page-8-0),[18](#page-8-0) Moreover, the generation of aryl radicals from arylhydrazines under oxidative conditions has been reported (homolytic aromatic substitution (HAS) reaction), $^{19}$  $^{19}$  $^{19}$  although, excess amounts of radical acceptors are generally required. Furthermore, diaryl disulfides are easily oxidized under air in the presence of a base and converted into benzenesulfonic acids.<sup>2</sup>

Herein, we report a transition-metal-free and oxidant-free cross-coupling reaction of arylhydrazines with diaryl disulfides overcoming the above difficulties. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of the synthesis of unsymmetrical diaryl sulfide using arylhydrazines and 1 equiv of diaryl disulfides under oxidant-free conditions.

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the course of our previous studies on the cross-coupling of arylhydrazines with aminoheterocycles<sup>[21](#page-8-0)</sup> and aromatic diamines, $22$  we examined the cross-coupling reaction of 4chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) with 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) [\(Table 1](#page-2-0)). The coupling reaction of 1a with 1 equiv of 2a in the presence of potassium carbonate (2.0 equiv) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) occurred to afford 4 chlorophenyl 4′-nitrophenyl sulfide (3aa) in 75% yield ([Table](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0), entry 1).

A shorter reaction time slightly lowered the yield of 3aa [\(Table 1](#page-2-0), entry 1, footnote c). When the amounts of 2a were decreased to 0.5 and 0.75 mmol, the yields of 3aa were lowered [\(Table 1,](#page-2-0) entry 1, footnotes d and e). However, increasing the amounts of 2a to 1.5 and 2.0 mmol, the similar yields of 3aa were obtained ([Table 1,](#page-2-0) entry 1, footnotes f and g). Another solvents (DMF, DMA, MeCN, and MeOH) gave 3aa in reduced yields ([Table 1](#page-2-0), entries 2−5).

Using rubidium carbonate or cesium carbonate as base, 3aa was formed in similar yields [\(Table 1,](#page-2-0) entries 6 and 7).

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization of Synthesis of  $3aa^a$



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol) and 2a (1.0 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 h under air.  $^b$ "Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol) and 2a (1.0 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 h under air. "HPLC yields, calibration curve was shown in [Figure S23.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.7b00767/suppl_file/jo7b00767_si_001.pdf)<br>"Reaction time was 18 h. "2a (0.5 mmol). "2a (0.75 mmol). <sup>5</sup>2a (1.5 mmol). <sup>8</sup>2a temperature was 80  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. <sup>j</sup>Isolated yield.

#### Table 2. Synthesis of 3aa under Inert Gas<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol) and 2a (1.0 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 h under N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>b</sup>HPLC yields. <sup>c</sup>Reaction time was 45 h. <sup>*d*</sup>Under argon atmosphere.

However, the use of lithium carbonate or sodium carbonate resulted in poor yields of 3aa (Table 1, entries 8 and 9).

Higher reaction temperatures (35 and 80  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h) in the presence of cesium carbonate as base gave slightly lowered the yields of 3aa (Table 1, entry 7, footnotes h and i). When the reaction time was longer than 1 h at 80 °C, a complex mixture such as tar was formed.

As to organic bases, DBU was effective in this cross-coupling reaction and gave 3aa in 54% yield (Table 1, entry 10). The use of DABCO or triethylamine was ineffective (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). In the absence of a base, 3aa was not obtained (Table 1, entry 13).

The yields of 3aa decreased when 1 equiv of  $K_2CO_3$  or  $Rb_2CO_3$  in 5 mL of DMSO were used (Table 1, entries 14 and 15). Instead, in the presence of 1 equiv of  $Cs_2CO_3$ , desired 3aa was synthesized in good yield (Table 1, entry 17). The best result was obtained under high concentrated conditions (DMSO, 3 mL), and product 3aa was generated in 82% yield (Table 1, entry 18). Using 0.5 equiv of base resulted in a remarkable decrease of yield of 3aa (Table 1, entry 19). These results show that the base plays an important role in this crosscoupling reaction. Reducing the volume of solvent to 2 mL did not improve further the yield of 3aa (Table 1, entry 20).

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 3. Cross-Coupling Reaction of 1a with Disulfides  $2^d$



 ${}^a$ Isolated yields.  ${}^b$ Under argon atmosphere.  ${}^c$ Cesium carbonate (3.0 mmol) was used.  ${}^d$ Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol) and **2** (1.0 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 h under air.

# Table 4. Reaction of Arylhydrazines 1b−1k with Disulfides 2a, 2j, 2l, and 2m<sup>b</sup>



 $^a$ Under argon atmosphere.  $^b$ Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol) and 2 (1.0 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 h under air. Isolated yields are shown.

Surprisingly, 3aa was formed in 41% yield under an atmosphere of nitrogen ([Table 2](#page-2-0), entry 1). Under these conditions the yield was further increased when the amount of DMSO was increased to 5 mL, 7.5 mL, and 10 mL ([Table 2](#page-2-0), entries 2, 3, and 4), and improved to 78% when the reaction time was increased (45 h) [\(Table 2](#page-2-0), entry 4, footnote c). A

similar yield of 3aa was observed under an argon atmosphere [\(Table 2,](#page-2-0) entry 4, footnote d) and the reaction also proceeded smoothly in DMF or DMA (N,N-dimethylacetamide) under nitrogen or argon atmospheres [\(Table 2](#page-2-0), entries 5 and 6). These results strongly suggest that oxidants are not necessary for this cross-coupling reaction. However, 3aa was hardly



obtained when other solvents such as MeCN or MeOH were employed under an argon atmosphere ([Table 2,](#page-2-0) entries 7 and 8). These low yields might be attributable to the very low solubility of 2a in MeCN or MeOH.

With the optimized conditions in hand, the scope and limitations of the cross-coupling reaction of 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) with a series of disulfides (2a−2k) were investigated [\(Table 3](#page-3-0)). The reaction of 1a with 3,3′ dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2b) provided compound 3ab in 75% yield. In the case of 2,2'-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide  $(2c)$ , the yield of 3ac was lower probably due to steric effects. The reaction of 1a with a diaryl disulfide bearing an electron-donating group such as methyl group provided 3ad in 30% yield under air. A similar yield of 3ad was also observed under an argon atmosphere.

The coupling reaction of 1a with 4,4′-dihydroxydiphenyl disulfide (2e) having acidic protons using 1.0 equiv or 3.0 equiv of cesium carbonate was performed to afford the corresponding 3ae in moderate yields. However, the coupling reaction of 1a with 4,4′-diaminodiphenyl disulfide gave a complicated mixture.

Additionally, the cross-coupling with heteroaryl disulfides was successful. Thus, 2,2′-dibenzothiazolyl disulfide (2f) gave 3af in high yield  $(76%)$  and with 4,4'-dipyridyl disulfide  $(2g)$ 3ag was obtained in good yield. Instead, the use of 2,2′ dipyridyl disulfide (2h) resulted in a low yield (20%) of 3ah. Interestingly, in the case of the 2,2′-dipyridyl disulfide derivative bearing a nitro group  $(2i)$ , the coupling reaction provided 3ai in excellent yield (93%). In addition, the coupling reactions with alkyl disulfides gave the corresponding unsymmetrical sulfides in good to high yields (3aj and 3ak).

Next, the cross-coupling between a variety of arylhydrazine hydrochlorides (1b−1k) and several disulfides (2a, 2j, 2l, and

2m) was examined, and the results are summarized in [Table 4.](#page-3-0) The cross-coupling reaction of arylhydrazine hydrochlorides bearing either electron-withdrawing groups (i.e., fluoro, bromo, cyano, and nitro groups) or electron-donating groups (i.e., methyl group) with 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) successfully afforded 3ba−3ga in good to excellent yields (55−94%). However, the reaction of 4-methoxyphenylhydrazine hydrochloride having an electron-donating group with 2a gave a complex mixture. Sulfide 3aa was synthesized from 4 nitrophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1h) and 4,4′-dichlorodiphenyl disulfide (2l) (67% under air and 59% under argon). Compound 1h was allowed to react with diphenyl disulfide (2m) to afford 3da in good yield (62%).

Using 4-nitrophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1h) and dimethyl disulfide (2j), 3hj was obtained in good yield (62%). Finally, the cross-coupling reaction could be applied to more hindered dichlorophenylhydrazine hydrochlorides (1i−1k) with 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a), giving the corresponding products (3ia−3ka) in good yields.

Even in a large-scale reaction (20 mmol), the cross-coupling of 1a with 2a proceeded smoothly to afford 3aa in 79% yield (Scheme 2).

To clarify the mechanistic pathway for the cross-coupling reaction of arylhydrazines with disulfides, a radical trapping experiment was performed. Thus, using 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl (TEMPO) under argon in DMF, the 4 chlorophenyl radical was trapped to afford 4 in 15% yield. Also, 3aa was formed in a reduced yield (Scheme 3).

Next, the reaction of 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) with 2 equiv of 4-nitrobenzenethiol  $(2a')$  instead of 4,4<sup>'</sup>nitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) was investigated (Scheme 4). The desired product 3aa was formed in a low yield (12%) under

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry and the Second Second

argon atmosphere. This result suggests that disulfide 2a may formally act as an oxidant.

In summary, the generation of aryl radicals from arylhydrazines (HAS-type reaction) was successfully achieved in the absence of any oxidant. The base-promoted cross-coupling reaction of arylhydrazines with 1 equiv of disulfides under inert gas provides unsymmetrical aryl sulfides in good yields. This rare HAS-type reaction provides a practical synthesis of unsymmetrical aryl sulfides.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Information. All the starting materials were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. IR spectra were reported in wave numbers (cm<sup>−1</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer using  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  or  $DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>$  as solvent referenced to TMS  $(0 \text{ ppm})$  and CHCl<sub>3</sub> (7.26 ppm) or DMSO (2.50 ppm). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and DMSO- $d_6$  using CDCl<sub>3</sub> (77.0 ppm) and DMSO- $d_6$  (39.5 ppm) as standards. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). Coupling constants are in reported in hertz  $(J, Hz)$ . The following abbreviations are used for the description of signals: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quadruplet), and m (multiplet). Exact mass spectra were recorded using direct analysis in real time (DART-TOFMS). Analytical TLC was carried out on silica gel plates using short wave (254 nm) UV light. Silica gel (230−400 mesh) was used for column chromatography.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Aryl Sulfide 3. A mixture of arylhydrazine hydrochlorides 1 (1.0 mmol), disulfides 2 (1.0 mmol), and cesium carbonate (326 mg, 1.0 mmol) in DMSO (3.0 mL) was stirred at 25 °C in air. The reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and upon completion (24 h) quenched by the addition of water. Then, the reaction mixtures were extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water and brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give crude products, which were purified by column chromatography (inner diameter: 3.0 cm and length: 30 cm) over silica gel (hexane/AcOEt) to afford pure products.

4-Chlorophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3aa). Compound 3aa was synthesized from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3aa (218 mg, 0.82 mmol, 82%) as a pale yellow block crystal;  $R_f = 0.44$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) (UV); mp 84.5−85.5 °C (Lit.<sup>[23](#page-8-0)</sup> 83−84 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3091, 3059, 1502, 1331, 1078, 845 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.32 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 4H), 8.14 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 124.4, 127.3, 129.0, 130.3, 134.8, 136.0, 145.3, 146.7; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_8CINO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 264.9964, found 264.9944.

4-Chlorophenyl 3'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ab). Compound 3ab was prepared from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 3,3′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2b) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3ab (198 mg, 0.75 mmol, 75%) as a pale yellow needle;  $R_f = 0.34$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) (UV); mp 70.5−71.5<br>°C (Lit<sup>24</sup> 70−71 °C): FT-IR (neat) 3083, 3066, 1521, 1343, 727 <sup>+</sup> 70−71 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3083, 3066, 1521, 1343, 727 cm<sup>−1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50−7.55 (m, 4H), 7.64 (t,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.69 (td,  $J = 1.6$  Hz,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 (t,  $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.10 (ddd,  $J = 1.6$  Hz,  $J = 2.3$  Hz,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  121.8, 123.0, 130.0, 130.9, 131.1, 133.8, 134.3, 135.3, 138.2, 148.4; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_8CINO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 264.9964, found 264.9943.

4-Chlorophenyl 2'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ac). Compound 3ac was prepared according to the general procedure using 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 2,2′ dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2c) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3ac (105 mg, 0.40 mmol, 40%) as a pale orange needle.  $R_f = 0.22$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) (UV); mp 96.0−97.0 °C (Lit.[25](#page-8-0) 94−96 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3100, 1504, 1303, 1091 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 6.91 (dd, J = 1.4 Hz, J  $= 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dt, J = 1.4 Hz, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.65 (m, 5H), 8.25 (dd, J = 1.4 Hz, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 125.8, 126.4, 128.5, 129.4, 130.4, 134.5, 135.3, 136.6, 137.0, 145.0; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_8CINO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 264.9964, found 264.9947.

4-Chlorophenyl 4'-tolyl sulfide (3ad). The mixture of 4chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol), 4,4′-ditolyl disulfide (2d) (246 mg, 1.0 mmol), and cesium carbonate (1.0 equiv) in DMSO (3 mL) provided the desired 3ad (71 mg, 0.30 mmol, 30% in air, and 86 mg, 0.37 mmol, 37% under argon). Recrystallization from hexane, gave 3ad as a white solid;  $R_f = 0.40$ (hexane) (UV); mp 71.5−72.5 °C (Lit.<sup>[24](#page-8-0)</sup> 70−71 °C); FT-IR (neat) 2917, 1472, 1085, 804 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 2.31  $(s, 3H)$ , 7.20 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ) δ 20.7, 129.3, 129.4, 130.5, 130.5, 131.2, 132.5, 135.7, 138.2; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}CIS$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 234.0270, found 234.0255.

4-Chlorophenyl 4'-hydroxyphenyl sulfide (3ae). Following the general procedure, compound 3ae was synthesized from 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dihydroxydiphenyl disulfide (2e) (250 mg, 1.0 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 2:1) afforded 3ae (138 mg, 0.58 mmol, 58%) as an orange solid; Rf = 0.50 (hexane/AcOEt = 2:1) (UV); mp 66.0–67.5 °C (Lit.<sup>[26](#page-8-0)</sup> 54–55 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3283, 1582, 1489, 1092, 810 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  6.85 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 9.95 (bs, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 116.9, 119.6, 128.4, 129.0, 130.1, 136.3, 138.0, 158.6; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_9C$ lOS [M<sup>+</sup>]: 236.0063, found 236.0039.

2-[(4'-Chlorophenyl)thio]benzothiazole (3af). The desired product 3af was synthesized from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 2,2′-dibenzothiazolyl disulfide (2f) (332 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt =  $4:1$ ) and recrystallization form hexane/AcOEt (9:1), gave 3af (211 mg, 0.76 mmol, 76%) as a colorless plate crystal;  $R_f = 0.60$  (hexane/AcOEt = 4:1) (UV); mp 59.0−60.0 °C (Lit.[27](#page-8-0) 60−61 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3079, 3058, 1454, 1390, 747, 723 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.36 (dt, J = 1.2 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dt, J = 1.2 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.84−7.87 (m, 1H), 7.96 (dd,  $J = 1.2$  Hz,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  121.5, 121.8, 124.7, 126.5, 127.9, 130.3, 134.9, 137.0, 153.3; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{13}H_9CINS_2$  [M + H<sup>+</sup>]: 277.9859, found 277.9839.

4-[(4'-Chlorophenyl)thio]pyridine (3aq). Compound 3ag was obtained from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dipyridyl disulfide (2g) (220 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 2:3) gave 3ag (144 mg, 0.65 mmol, 65%) as a brown solid;  $R_f = 0.40$  (hexane/AcOEt = 2:3) (UV); mp 56.5−57.5 °C; FT-IR (neat) 3035, 1567, 1474, 1403, 1087, 822, 802 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.04 (dd, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 4H), 8.38 (dd,  $J = 1.6$  Hz,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 2H); NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 120.8, 127.8, 130.2, 134.9, 136.5, 148.3, 149.7; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{11}H_9C$  INS [M + H+ ]: 222.0139, found 222.0126.

 $2-[1/4]$ -Chlorophenyl)thio]pyridine (3ah). Following the general procedure, compound 3ah was synthesized from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 2,2′-dipyridyl disulfide (2h) (220 mg, 1.0 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 4:1) afforded 3ah (44 mg, 0.20 mmol, 20%) as a brown oil;  $R_f = 0.50$  (hexane/AcOEt = 4:1) (UV); FT-IR (neat) 3044, 2987, 1572, 1415, 1085, 755, 746 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.04 (td, J = 0.8 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17  $(ddd, J = 0.8 \text{ Hz}, J = 4.4 \text{ Hz}, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H),$ 

7.58 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (dt, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.40  $(ddd, J = 0.8 \text{ Hz}, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, J = 4.4 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H});$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 120.8, 121.5, 129.3, 129.8, 134.1, 136.2, 137.5, 149.7, 158.9; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{11}H_9CINS [M + H^+]$ : 222.0139, found 222.0120.

2-[(4'-Chlorophenyl)thio]-5-nitropyridine (3ai). The reaction of 4chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) with 2,2′-dithiobis(5-nitropyridine) (2i) (310 mg, 1.0 mmol) was performed according to the general procedure to afford compound 3ai. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt =  $4:1$ ) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3ai (249 mg, 0.93 mmol, 93%) as white needles;  $R_f = 0.50$  (hexane/AcOEt = 4:1) (UV); mp 136.0−137.5 °C (Lit.<sup>[28](#page-8-0)</sup> 136−138 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3053, 1568, 1508, 1343, 1089, 822, 747 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.21 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.40 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 9.18 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  120.5, 127.0, 130.2, 132.3, 135.4, 137.1, 141.6, 145.0, 167.5; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{11}H_8CIN_2O_2S$  [M + H<sup>+</sup>]: 266.9990, found 266.9968.

1-Chloro-4-(methylthio)benzene (3aj). Compound 3aj was obtained from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and dimethyl disulfide (2j) (94 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using hexane and 3aj (128 mg, 0.81 mmol, 81%) was obtained as a colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.30$  (hexane) (UV); FT-IR (neat) 2984, 2919, 1474, 1093, 1010, 806 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.47 (s, 3H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  16.1, 127.9, 128.9, 130.9, 137.0; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_7H_7ClS$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 157.9957, found 157.9960.

1-Chloro-4-(ethylthio)benzene (3ak). Compound 3ak was prepared from 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol) and diethyl disulfide  $(2k)$   $(122 \text{ mg}, 1.0 \text{ mmol})$  according to the general procedure. The resulting crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography using hexane to give the corresponding product 3ak (107 mg, 0.62 mmol, 62%) as a colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.36$ (hexane) (UV); FT-IR (neat) 2973, 2927, 2870, 1474, 1093, 1010, 809 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.30 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 2.92 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (s, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 14.2, 27.9, 128.9, 130.3, 131.7, 135.1; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_8H_9CIS$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 172.0113, found 172.0113.

4-Fluorophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ba). According to the general procedure, compound 3ba was synthesized from 4 fluorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1b) (163 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) and recrystallized from hexane to give 3ba (206 mg, 0.83 mmol, 83%) as a pale yellow sticky crystal;  $R_f = 0.32$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5)<br>(UV); mp 97.4–98.4 °C (Lit.<sup>[29](#page-8-0)</sup> 97–99 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3091, 1502, 1332, 1077, 831 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.26 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (dd,  $J_{HF}$  = 9.0 Hz, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (dd,  $J_{HF}$  = 5.4 Hz,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 2H), 8.13 (d,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 117.5 (d, J<sub>CF</sub> = 22.9 Hz), 124.3, 125.1, 126.5, 137.4 (d,  $J_{CF}$  = 8.6 Hz), 145.0, 147.7, 163.1 (d,  $J_{CF}$  = 246.9 Hz); HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_8FNO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 249.0260, found 249.0237.

4-Bromophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ca). The reaction of 4bromophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1c) (224 mg, 1.0 mmol) with 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) was carried out according to the general procedure to provide the desired compound 3ca (220 mg, 0.71 mmol, 71%) as a pale yellow block crystal after column chromatography (hexane) and recrystallization from hexane;  $R_f = 0.22$  (hexane) (UV); mp 94.0–95.0 °C (Lit.<sup>[30](#page-8-0)</sup> 94–96 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3092, 1504, 1334, 1008, 845, 742 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.33 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.72  $(d, J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 8.14 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H});$ <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) d 123.4, 124.4, 127.4, 129.6, 133.2, 136.1, 145.3, 146.5; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_8BrNO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 308.9459, found 308.9447.

4-Nitrophenyl phenyl sulfide (3da). Compound 3da was prepared from phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1d) (145 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3da (196 mg, 0.85 mmol, 85%) as a pale orange plate crystal;  $R_f = 0.18$ (hexane) (UV); mp 55.0–56.0 °C (Lit.<sup>[23](#page-8-0)</sup> 54–55 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3096, 3052, 1501, 1333, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 7.27 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.59 (m, 5H), 8.12 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  124.3, 126.8, 129.7, 129.9, 130.3, 134.4, 145.0, 147.5; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}NO<sub>2</sub>S$ [M+H<sup>+</sup>]: 232.0427, found 232.0408.

4-Nitrophenyl 4'-tolyl sulfide (3ea). Compound 3ea was synthesized from 4-tolylhydrazine hydrochloride (1e) (159 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3ea (188 mg, 0.77 mmol, 77%) as pale yellow needles;  $R_f$  = 0.30 (hexane) (UV); mp 80.0−81.0 °C (Lit.[24](#page-8-0) 78−79 °C); FT-IR (neat) 1508, 1338, 811, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.23 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 20.8, 124.3, 125.8, 126.2, 131.0, 134.9, 140.1, 144.8, 148.4; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}NO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 245.0510, found 245.0507.

4-Cyanophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide  $(3fa)$ . Following the general procedure, compound 3fa was obtained from 4-cyanophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1f) (170 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt = 4:1 to afford the desired compound 3fa (140 mg, 0.55 mmol, 55%). Recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) afforded 3fa as pale yellow needles;  $R_f =$ 0.46 (hexane/AcOEt = 4:1) (UV); mp 153.5−154.5 °C (Lit.<sup>[31](#page-8-0)</sup> 153− 154 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3086, 2227, 1572, 1499, 1336, 825 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.55 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d,  $J = 9.2$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 110.8, 118.3, 124.6, 130.5, 131.9, 133.5, 139.2, 142.9, 146.4; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{13}H_8N_2O_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 256.0306, found 256.0288.

3-Nitrophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ga).<sup>[18a](#page-8-0)</sup> Compound 3ga was obtained from 3-nitrophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1g) (190 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 7:1) afforded the desired product 3ga (258 mg, 0.94 mmol, 94%) as a yellow powder;  $R_f = 0.23$  (hexane/ AcOEt = 7:1) (UV); mp 122.0−123.0 °C (decomp.); FT-IR (neat) 3088, 1540, 1512, 1337, 1315, 850, 841, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.84 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 8.11 (ddd,  $J = 0.8$  Hz,  $J = 2.0$  Hz,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.31 (t,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.34–8.38 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  115.8, 124.4, 125.2, 128.1, 128.5, 131.2, 142.1, 147.2, 148.6, 151.3; HRMS (DART-TOFMS, neg) calcd for  $C_{12}H_7N_2O_4S$  [M – H<sup>+</sup>]: 275.0132, found 275.0149.

4-(Methylthio)nitrobenzene (3hj). Following the general procedure, compound 3hj was synthesized from 4-nitrophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1h) (190 mg, 1.0 mmol) and dimethyl disulfide (2j) (94 mg, 1.0 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (hexane/  $ACOEt = 9:1$ ) and recrystallization from hexane gave 3hj (105 mg, 0.62 mmol, 62%) as a pale brown plate crystal;  $R_f = 0.30$  (hexane/ AcOEt = 9:1) (UV); mp 69.4-70.4 °C (Lit.<sup>[32](#page-8-0)</sup> 65-67 °C); FT-IR (neat) 3092, 3001, 2915, 1583, 1505, 1330, 1092, 829, 737 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  2.59 (s, 3H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  14.0, 123.8, 125.3, 144.1, 149.0; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_7H_7NO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 169.0197, found 169.0195.

3,4-Dichlorophenyl 4′-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ia). Compound 3ia was obtained from 3,4-dichlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1i) (214 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) and

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry and the Second Second

recrystallized from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) to give 3ia (251 mg, 0.84 mmol, 84%) as white needles;  $R_f = 0.22$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) (UV); mp 110.0−111.0 °C; FT-IR (neat) 3088, 3058, 1579, 1500, 1336, 1079, 1032, 839, 812, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.41 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (dd, J = 2.3 Hz, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d,  $J = 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d,  $J = 8.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) d 124.5, 128.2, 131.5, 132.1, 132.5, 132.6, 133.8, 135.0, 145.4, 145.6; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_7Cl_2NO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 298.9575, found 298.9550.

2,4-Dichlorophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ja). Compound 3ja was synthesized from 2,4-dichlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1j) (214 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt =  $95:5$ ) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3ja (198 mg, 0.66 mmol, 66%) as a pale brown block crystal;  $R_f = 0.20$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) (UV); mp 76.0−77.0 °C; FT-IR (neat) 3095, 1575, 1506, 1336, 1084, 1033, 846, 810, 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.35 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (dd,  $J = 2.3$  Hz,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 124.5, 127.9, 128.5, 128.9, 130.3, 135.6, 137.2, 138.0, 144.3, 145.7; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_7Cl_2NO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 298.9575, found 298.9549.

3,5-Dichlorophenyl 4'-nitrophenyl sulfide (3ka). Following the general procedure, the product 3ka was synthesized from 3,5 dichlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1k) (214 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) and recrystallization from hexane/AcOEt (9:1) gave 3ka (218 mg, 0.73 mmol, 73%) as a white block crystal;  $R_f = 0.19$  (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) (UV); mp 93.5−94.5 °C; FT-IR (neat) 3071, 1556, 1506, 1330, 1082, 840, 797, 666 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.48 (d,  $J = 9.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.74 (t,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.19  $(d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  124.5, 128.9, 129.1, 131.1, 135.2, 144.3, 146.0; HRMS (DART-TOFMS) calcd for  $C_{12}H_7Cl_2NO_2S$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: 298.9575, found 298.9548.

Large-Scale Synthesis of 3aa. Compound 3aa was prepared from a mixture of 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (3.58 g, 20.0 mmol), 4,4′-dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (6.17 g, 20.0 mmol), and cesium carbonate (6.52 g, 20.0 mmol) in DMSO (60 mL) under air, according to the general procedure. The reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and completed after 24 h. Purification by column chromatography (inner diameter: 5 cm and length: 30 cm) over silica gel (hexane/AcOEt = 95:5) gave product 3aa (4.16 g, 79%) in a pure form.

Radical-Trapping Experiment with TEMPO. To a mixture of 4 chlorophenyl- hydrazine hydrochloride (1a) (179 mg, 1.0 mmol), 4,4′ dinitrodiphenyl disulfide (2a) (308 mg, 1.0 mmol), and cesium carbonate (326 mg, 1.0 mmol) in DMF (10 mL), TEMPO (313 mg, 2.0 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere for 24 h. The resulting solution was quenched by the addition of water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a crude product. Purification by column chromatography over silica gel afforded 3aa (19%) and 4-chloro-1-(2′,2′,6′,6′ tetramethylpiperidinyloxy)benzene (4) (40 mg, 15%). Compound 4 was recrystallized from hexane to give a colorless plate crystal;  $R_f =$ 0.62 (hexane); mp 89.5−90.5 °C (Lit.<sup>[21](#page-8-0)</sup> 89.5−90.5 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 0.99 (s, 6H), 1.21 (s, 6H), 1.38−1.44 (m, 1H), 1.53−1.68 (m, 5H), 7.11 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 17.0, 20.4, 32.5, 39.7, 60.4, 115.2, 124.3, 128.5, 162.2.

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the [ACS Publications website](http://pubs.acs.org) at DOI: [10.1021/acs.joc.7b00767.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.7b00767)

 ${}^{1}$ H NMR,  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectra, and calibration curve for 3aa [\(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.7b00767/suppl_file/jo7b00767_si_001.pdf)

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: [t-taniguchi@waseika.com](mailto:t-taniguchi@waseika.com). \*E-mail: [tmizuno@omtri.or.jp](mailto:tmizuno@omtri.or.jp).

#### ORCID<sup>®</sup>

Takumi Mizuno: [0000-0002-4200-9447](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4200-9447)

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Dr. Toshiyuki Iwai (Osaka Research Institute of Industrial Science and Technology) for HRMS spectroscopic assistance.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Yamaguchi, H.; Minoura, Y. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2003, 15, 1869. (b) Beletskaya, I. P.; Ananikov, V. P. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1596.

(2) Arrayas, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 2207. (3) (a) Cerella, C.; Kelkel, M.; Viry, E.; Dicato, M.; Jacob, C.; Diederich, M. In Phytochemicals-Bioactivities and Impact on Health; Rasooli, I., Ed.; InTech: New York, USA, 2011; Chapter 1, pp 1−42. (b) Damani, L. A. Sulfur-Containing Drugs and Related Organic Compounds: Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Toxicology; Ellis Horwood Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 1989; Vol. 1, Part B: Metabolism of Sulfur Functional Groups. (c) Gangjee, A.; Zeng, Y.; Talreja, T.; McGuire, J. J.; Kisliuk, R. L.; Queener, S. F. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 3046. (d) Liu, G.; Huth, J. R.; Olejniczak, E. T.; Mendoza, R.; DeVries, P.; Leitza, S.; Reilly, E. B.; Okasinski, G. F.; Nielsen, E.; Fesik, S. W.; Von-Geldern, T. W. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 1202. (e) Nielsen, S. F.; Nielsen, E.; Olsen, G. M.; Liljefors, T.; Peters, D. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 2217. (f) Pasquini, S.; Mugnaini, C.; Tintori, C.; Botta, M.; Trejos, A.; Arvela, R. K.; Larhed, M.; Witvrouw, M.; Michiels, M.; Christ, F.; Debyser, Z.; Corelli, F. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 5125. (g) Singh, F. V.; Parihar, A.; Chaurasia, S.; Singh, A. B.; Singh, S. P.; Tamrakar, A. K.; Srivastava, A. K.; Goel, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 2158. (h) Li, Y.; Yu, S.; Liu, D.; Proksch, P.; Lin, W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 1099.

(4) (a) Stadler, O. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1884, 17, 2075. (b) Ziegler, J. H. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1890, 23, 2469.

(5) (a) Abeywickrema, A. N.; Beckwith, A. L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8227. (b) Perumal, S.; Chandrasekaran, R.; Vijayabaskar, V.; Wilson, D. A. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1995, 33, 779. (c) Smith, G.; Mikkelsen, G.; Eskildsen, J.; Bundgaard, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 3981. (d) Saito, Y.; Wada, N.; Kusano, S.; Miyazawa, T.; Takahashi, S.; Toyokawa, Y.; Kajiwara, I. Patent US 4932999, 1990. (6) Wang, X.; Cuny, G. D.; Noel, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52,

7860.

(7) (a) Dell'Erba, C.; Houmam, A.; Novi, M.; Petrillo, G.; Pinson, J. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2670. (b) Dell'Erba, C.; Houmam, A.; Morin, N.; Novi, M.; Petrillo, G.; Pinson, J.; Rolando, C. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 929. (c) Laquidara, J. Chem. Eng. News 2001, 79, 6. (d) Majek, M.; von Wangelin, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5507. (e) Sakla, A. B.; Masoud, N. K.; Sawiris, Z.; Ebaid, W. S. Helv. Chim. Acta 1974, 57, 481.

(8) (a) Alvaro, E.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7858. (b) Itoh, T.; Mase, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4587. (c) Lee, J.-Y.; Lee, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7413.

(9) (a) Taniguchi, N. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6904. (b) Cristau, H. J.; Chabaud, B.; Labaudiniere, R.; Christol, H. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 875. (c) Zhang, Y.; Ngeow, K. C.; Ying, J. Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3495. (d) Jammi, S.; Barua, P.; Rout, L.; Saha, P.; Punniyamurthy, T.

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article and the Second Secon

Tetrahedron Lett. 2008 , 49, 1484. (e) Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Liu, H.; Chen, H.; Huang, D. Org. Chem. Front. 2017 4, 31. ,

(10) Eichmann, C. C.; Stambuli, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 2009 , 74, 4005. (11) (a) Bates, C. G.; Gujadhur, R. K.; Venkataraman, D. Org. Lett. 2002 4, 2803. (b) Kwong, F. W.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2002 4 , , , 3517. (c) Xu, H.-J.; Zhao, Y.-Q.; Feng, T.; Feng, Y.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2012 , 77, 2878. (d) Kabir, M. S.; Lorenz, M.; van Linn, M. L.; Namjoshi, O. A.; Ara, S.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2010 , 75, 3626. (e) Yang, C.-T.; Fu, Y.; Huang, Y.-B.; Yi, J.; Guo, Q.-X.; Liu, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009 , 48, 7398. (f) Singh, N.; Singh, R.; Raghuvanshi, D. S.; Singh, K. N. Org. Lett. 2013 , 15, 5874. (g) Xiao, F.; Chen, S.; Li, C.; Huang, H.; Deng, G.-J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016 , 358, 3881.

(12) (a) Correa, A.; Carril, M.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008 , 47, 2880. (b) Buchwald, S. L.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009 , 48, 5586.

(13) Arisawa, M.; Tazawa, T.; Tanii, S.; Horiuchi, K.; Yamaguchi, M. J. Org. Chem. 2017 , 82, 804.

(14) Wang, P.-F.; Wang, X.-Q.; Dai, J.-J.; Feng, Y.-S.; Xu, H.-J. Org. Lett. 2014 , 16, 4586.

(15) (a) Li, H. L.; Kuninobu, Y.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017 , 56, 1495. (b) Jiang, M.; Li, H.; Yang, H.; Fu, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017 , 56, 874.

(16) (a) Murata, M.; Buchwald, S. L. Tetrahedron 2004 , 60, 7397. (b) Alvaro, E.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009 , 131, 7858.

(17) (a) Beletskaya, I. P.; Ananikov, V. P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007 , 2007, 3431. (b) Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T. Chem. Rev. **2000**, 100, 3205. (18) (a) Bu, M.-J.; Lu, G.-P.; Cai, C. Synlett 2015 , 26, 1841. (b) Movassagh, B.; Hosseinzadeh, Z. Synlett 2016 , 27, 777. (c) Kundu, D.; Ahammed, S.; Ranu, B. C. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 1814.

(19) (a) Wetzel, A.; Ehrhardt, V.; Heinrich, M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008 , 47, 9130. (b) Wetzel, A.; Pratsch, G.; Kolb, R.; Heinrich, M. R. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010 , 16, 2547. (c) Jasch, H.; Scheumann, J.; Heinrich, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 2012 , 77, 10699. (d) Hammer, S. G.; Heinrich, M. R. Tetrahedron 2014 , 70, 8114. (e) Hofmann, J.; Jasch, H.; Heinrich, M. R. J. *Org. Chem.* **2014**, 79, 2314. (f) Jiang, T.; Chen, S.-Y.; Zhuang, H.; Zeng, R.-S.; Zou, J.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014 , 55 , 4549. (g) Zhang, X. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 2015 , 115, 1658. (h) Hofmann, D.; Hofmann, J.; Hofmann, L.-E.; Hofmann, L.; Heinrich, M. R. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015 , 19, 2075.

(20) (a) Wallace, T. J.; Schriesheim, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1963 , 17, 1131. (b) Wallace, T. J.; Schriesheim, A. Tetrahedron 1965 , 21, 2271.

(21) Taniguchi, T.; Imoto, M.; Takeda, M.; Matsumoto, F.; Nakai, T.; Mihara, M.; Mizuno, T.; Nomoto, A.; Ogawa, A. Tetrahedron 2016 , 72, 4132.

(22) Taniguchi, T.; Imoto, M.; Takeda, M.; Nakai, T.; Mihara, M.; Mizuno, T.; Nomoto, A.; Ogawa, A. Synthesis 2017, 49, 1623.

(23) Sousa, S. C. A.; Bernardo, J. R.; Wolff, M.; Machura, B.; Fernandes, A. C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014 , 2014, 1855.

(24) Wu, W.-Y.; Wang, J.-C.; Tsai, F.-Y. Green Chem. 2009 , 11, 326. (25) Li, M.; Hoover, J. M. Chem. Commun. 2016 , 52, 8733.

(26) Lan, M.-T.; Wu, W.-Y.; Huang, S.-H.; Luo, K.-L.; Tsai, F.-Y. RSC Adv. 2011 1, 1751. ,

(27) Liu, Y.; Huang, B.; Cao, X.; Wu, D.; Wan, J.-P. RSC Adv. 2014 , 4, 37733.

(28) Takahashi, T.; Shibasaki, J. Yakugaku Zasshi 1952 6, 1141. ,

(29) Sindhu, K. S.; Thankachan, A. P.; Thomas, A. M.; Anilkumar, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015 , 56, 4923.

(30) Zhang, X.; Lu, G.-P.; Cai, C. Green Chem. 2016 , 18, 5580.

(31) Evans, T. L.; Kinnard, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1983 , 48, 2496.

(32) Oae, S.; Shinhama, K.; Kim, Y. H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980 , 53 , 2023.